

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/086,180	(	02/25/2002	Bruce L. Davis	P0585	P0585 1232	
23735	7590	03/19/2008		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DIGIMAR 9405 SW G		ORATION .				
	ON, OR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 03/19/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AN

			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s) DAVIS, BRUCE L.	
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/086,180		
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit	<del>,                                    </del>
	David Lazaro	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
The Appeal Brief filed on 12 March 2008 is defective fo	r failure to comply with	one or more provisions of 37 CFR	41.37.
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an	amended brief or other	appropriate correction (see MPEP	,

1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). 3. At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). 5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). 7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)). 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

TIM COLE
PATENT APPEAL CENTER SPECIALIST

1.) The summary of claimed subject matter fails to identify and separately refer each independent claim (1 and 11) to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any. The appellant may wish to only submit the defective

Timothy Cole

section of the brief .